

Existence of contractions + flips

X 3-fold F canonical
 $R \subseteq \overline{NE}(X)$ be - K_Y -negative
extremal ray

$$\text{loc}(R) = \{ P \in C \mid [C] \in R \}$$

Fact $\text{loc}(R)$ is a subvariety

$$\underline{\text{loc}(R)} = X$$

In this case R is K_X -negative (not hard to show)
can realize the K_Y contraction as
a K_X contraction

$\text{loc}(R) = D$ is a divisor

D is not invariant ... leave as an exercise

D is invariant

$$K_X|_D = K_D + \Delta_D$$

$$(K_X + D)|_D = K_D + \mathcal{O}_D(D)$$

Recall $\mathcal{O}_D(D) \subseteq \Delta_D$

this implies that R is $K_X + D$ negative.
need to control the singularity of the
pair (X, D) .

observation if \mathbb{F} has canonical singularity

then (X, D) has log canonical singularity.

In particular, the $K_X + D$ -negative contraction exists.

Remark give a hint that we should construct K_X flips by constructing K_X log flip.

locally = C a curve (flipping case)

More singularities

Def X be a variety Γ a codim 1 foliation

We say Γ is \mathbb{P}^1 -dlt $\forall \exists \pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$

s.t. i) $\bar{\Gamma} := \pi^{-1}\Gamma$ has simple singularities

(in particular \bar{X} is smooth)

ii) \forall we write $K_{\bar{\Gamma}} = \pi^* K_{\Gamma} + \sum a_i E_i$

$$E_i \geq -\sum (E_i)$$

- Remark
- "next best thing to simple sing"
 - foliated analogue of dlt sing
 - version for pair (Y, Δ)
 - Y dlt then X is klt.
 - Y canonical then X is klt

WARNING Canonical $\not\Rightarrow$ F-dlt

e.g. $x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (x+y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$

- Running the MMP ~~most~~ starting w/ simple sing. produces ~~no~~ foliation w/ F-dlt sing.

- Let Z be a codim = 2 component
of $\text{sing}(F)$, then Z is contained
in Σ (formal) invariant divisor.*

Thm F -dlt flips exist.

Set up $X \supseteq C \leftarrow$ flipping curve.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \supseteq & C \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \ni & P \end{array}$$

C might have multiple components, but
each component is tangent to F .

Let S_i be the collection of all

invert divisor meeting C .

Fact (Cano-Cerveau) if \hat{X} is the formal completion of X along C then we can extend S_i as a formal subvariety of \hat{X} .

(*) Fact $(K_{\hat{X}} - (K_X + \sum S_i))|_{\hat{X}}$ is nef.

(This requires F-dlt singularity)



Pf restrict the strong sep.
 $\Delta_D \geq \Theta_D$ w/ equality at the generic point of C

In particular $(K_{\hat{X}} + \sum S_i) \cdot C < 0$

Problems i) want to produce the log flip
but we don't have the MMP in the formal
setting

technical {
ii) Z algebraic space... need to
prove that it's projective
iii) \hat{X} ~~map~~ is not necessarily \mathbb{Q} -factorial

How can we produce a "formal" flip?

Remark producing the flip is étale local
on Z , so we can freely replace
 Z by an étale nbhd of P . *

Technical ingredient

Thm (Corollary of Artin/Elkies approx.)
(A, \mathfrak{m}) henselian local ring \hat{A} be
the completion.

Let \hat{M} be an \hat{A} -module and
 $\hat{s} \in H^0(\text{Spec } \hat{A}, \hat{M})$.

Suppose \hat{M} is locally free on $\text{sp } \hat{A} \setminus \{m\}$. Then for all $n > 0$

\exists A -module M and $s \in H^0(\text{sp } A, m)$
 s.t. $M \otimes \hat{A} \cong \hat{M}$ $S = \hat{S}$ and m^n . \square

How to we see this?

$$\hat{A} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z, P} \quad \hat{X} \xrightarrow{f} \text{sp } \hat{A}$$

$\hat{M} = \mathcal{O}(f_* S_i)$ reflexive sheaf

S_i is \mathcal{O} -Cartier $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f_* S_i)$ is \mathcal{O} -Cartier away from P

Checking a bit we can assume

$\mathcal{O}(f_* S_i)$ is locally free away from P .

$A = \text{henselization of } \mathcal{O}_{Z,P}$

$\Rightarrow \exists M \text{ on } \text{sp} A \text{ s.t. } M \otimes \hat{A} = \mathcal{O}(f, S_1)$

also $\exists s \in H^0(\text{sp} A, M)$ s.t. s approximates
the tangent bundle section of $\mathcal{O}(f, S_1)$.

Henselization are the local ring for
the étale topology

so $\exists Z' \rightarrow Z$ étale and a reflexion
sheaf $\mathcal{M}' \subset Z'$ and a section s'
which give (M, s) in $\text{sp} A$.

$$\hat{S}_1 := \{s' = 0\}$$

$\tilde{S}_i = S_i \pmod{m^n}$ for some $n \gg 0$

$$X' = X \times_Z Z'$$

can construct the $K_{X'} + \sum \tilde{S}_i$ flip.

Can check for all $n \gg 0$ the flip is independent of all our choices of approximation and so gives the

K_Z - flip \square

Termination : show flips become disjoint from lc center
: Bott's partial connect- to show
that terminal K_7 flips are
 K_X -flips.

Fact Basepoint free theorem is false for
foliations, and so it isn't clear how
to construct canonical models.

Thm (Y, Δ) is an F-dlt pair $\Delta = A + B$
 A ample $B \geq 0$ (e.g. Y simple sing,
 $\Delta < A$ is ample).
 $K_Y + \Delta$ is nef.
Then $K_Y + \Delta$ is semi-ample.

It follows from the cone contraction on
non- \mathbb{Q} -factorial variety \square

+ canonical bundle formula
for fibrations.